Previous references. 1899 1901. (Sanitary.)

Sany File No. 48

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major **Part B. for** February 01

SUBJECT.

Demolition of the South Fort-gate of Bangalore.

Sl. From The Supt Engineer Sany Works.
No 4393/648 Dated 15. 10. 99.
Enquires whether the Sanction of Govt has been obtained to demolish the Fort Gate and requests that if Sanction is obtained, the same may be obtained and intimated to the Chief Engineer.

G. R. S. 58

Sl. 2. From The Supg-Engr Sanyworks.

No 3817 Dated 4.1.01.

Requests a very early reply to his docket regarding the demolition of the Fort gate.

From The Plague comr.

No 2889 Dy 7.1.01

Forwards the above (Sl 1) for obtaining early orders of Government.

Sl 3. From The Secry.

No 9854/2760 D 6.2.01

Sanctions the demolition of the Fort gate and states that the Plague Commissioner should have obtained orders before instructing the D.P.W to carry out the work.

From Secy.

Sl 4.

No 9854½/2760 Dy 6.2.10

States that the Plague Comr. should have obtained verbal permission of Sir K. Sesharei Iyer K.C.S.I before he instructed the D.P.W to begin the work.

Dated. W. Kalabhushyl

Subd to Offg Dewan.

The first letter from the Subdy Magistrate is dy 15th to the Octr 1904 on the 21st Idem the Headman Nao received on it "Show this to the "Dewan". As soon as it came to me viz on the 26th Idem, I "Subd it to the Dewan". On the 10th July/05, a Clerk of the Magte Court's office wrote to Clerk of the D.C.O Lakshman Nao for the file saying it was urgently required for reference. Lakshman Nao sent it to him on the 12th Idem. The Magte Court's Clerk returned it to Lakshman Nao on the 21st Idem/05. The letter as the same Cafe placed before the Offg Dewan who thereupon enquired when the file had to have been sent & where the Residents Caution & the demolition of post & wall was necessary. The Namaiya Appu Jeer Magte Branch with the above informa- tion on the first

Jarrett dated the file
in the Office Secy on the 29th
adding & added that he
had no information
on the 2nd point in the
Klaque dispute - the file was dated from
came from on the 17th
January - On ~~subsequent~~ the 12th,
I recorded my impression
and called for a Standing
order from the
General Meeting).
In the meantime, the
Klaque Town Memo of
7/1/01 on the Subg Engineer
reminder was received
in the Klaque Branch
& dated 2nd on the 8th or 9th without the file -
On the 9th I called for
the file which had been
dated as above & the Office Secy
on the 29th Dec/00. I got it
on the 11th January &
called for a Standing order
as noted above on the 12th -
Not having heard from
the General Records,
I sent a clerk on the 29th -
Mr Bride in resubmitting
the file to me on the 30th with the
Standing orders ~~called~~ I
wanted noted that the
file had only been received
in the General Records on the
29th & on the date of the clerk -

And then I found was a fact; and accordingly remarked upon the delay in the H-Secy's part in the same day, I ordered the file — minute — to be sent to the Offg Dewan.

It will be seen from the above

(1) That there was no formal communication from Govt in 1899 but only a note by the Madhava Row "Other than to the Dewan"

(2) That the file was before the Dewan until 12th July/00 on which date it was sent back to the Macaulay Office — Mr Madhava Rao had taken charge as Dewan pro. — vice per. — (3) That the file was returned back by Lakshmana Rao on 21/12/00 & was sent by him to the Offg Dewan: There was no delay on his part nor could he be fairly blamed for the period held & accounted for in (2) above.

(4) The file was with the Minister Amalgamation

(after & then was in the Dewan's Reg-e one 5 months & 9 days —)

4

from the 29th Oct to 11th January and again from the 13th to the 29th January - This was all the delay on the part of the Admiralty which I could find fault with but it is for the Admiralty to explain (and not the Admiralty Office) why the Admiralty Branch of the General Headquarters is responsible.

I submit with great deference that no blame can have been attributed to the Admiralty for the delays in other cases - more especially of which I have not failed to notice as in the present case no doubt the delay rested with the G.O.C. or with the General (including Admiralty Branch) Headquarters as the stigmatized as "grossly" responsible and "greatly to blame". I am sorry to have to trouble you by writing this long memo on the explanation -

C.

6/2/07.

(Clear proof of the Admiralty Branch of the General Headquarters)

1/2/07

Admiralty Branch. attached to the Staff - for Captain [illegible] in the event of [illegible] Admiralty Branch [illegible]

Submitted

In connection with the file re demolition of the South Gate of the Fort of Bangalore, on which the Offg: Dewan passed orders yesterday, I beg to submit that the Offg: Dewan has since slightly altered the last line of his order which, he has directed me to bring to your notice for needful —

Para 2 of the Offg: Dewan's order was: —

"

Please issue the draft a now altered giving same numbers and date and request Plague Commr that it may be substituted for the other already issued and the latter returned to Plague Commr."

The Offg: Dewan has since altered the words Plague Commr to Secretary — Govt with a request that the substituted for the first one which should be returned to me.

This is all right — I will see the Secretary to Govt yesterday

File —

9/2

I remain, Sir,
your obedt servt
B.V. Lakshmana Rao

Submitted to the
Under Secretary.

A perusal of the 2nd. Councillor's order on the enclosed is respectfully solicited. It is requested that the papers therein referred to may kindly be ordered to be submitted to the Councillor.

CR.
10/2/01.

Submit to Secy with the file with respect to the 2nd Mr Remarks on the slip below. 11/2/01

The papers are sent herewith.
The Accountant.

3777

SECTION Genl

FILE No. 4848/97 FROM C

SERIAL No. —

189

To

Dated 15

Despatched 18

Received

General No. 4373

No. 1648

Enclosure No.

Sent to Plague Commrs for orders.
23
9/10/97

Given this to the
Dewan
Mr Madhavrao
21st Oct 97
Mysore

* Sent to the Dewan
26/10/97

Para III: of Chief Engineers no: 5245 D. of Oct. 99.—

The Dreams Sanction to the demolition of the South gate of the Fort is wanting and there is nothing to show that this Sanction has been obtained. The Superintending Engineer will be good enough to ask the Plague Commissioner (under whose orders the work is now undertaken on emergency) to take steps to obtain the Dreams Sanction, if he has not done so already. X X.

(Signed) E. McCarthy Campbell
Chief Engineer

1901

SECTION Sanr

FILE

FROM Superintendent

To The Plague Commissioner of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore. 4th January/1

Despatched 5

Received

General No. 38

No. 17

Enclosure No.

1707
7.1.01
no:2889.

Very Urgent

Rep: PD
6/1

Transferred to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore Per & General Departments, for favor of his obtaining Early orders of Govt on the subject.

W Lawrence
7/1/1901 For Plague Commr

Subd.

File re. allotments made to the Chief Engineer in Mysore ~~with Plague~~ ~~Commr's No 3777~~ was Subd to Offg Secretary on 29.12.1900 & to the Plague Commr's No 3777 of 19.10.1899.

Subd to Secty.
WD
7/1/1900

U-Secy
Noted on the file.
Please 2 of
2-1-01

This Office no: 1379-539 of 9/00 and previous references enquiring whether Government have been pleased to sanction the South Fort Gate of Bangalore City.

Has the honor to invite attention to the above and to request the favor of an early reply as the reference from the D. P. W. Secretary on the subject is pending disposal for the last a year.

2

SECTION Sanl

FILE No. 46 of 99

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:-

(1) Letter No.4393/1648 dated the 15th/18th October 1899 from the Superintending Engineer Sanitary Works to the Plague Commissioner in Mysore annexing an extract from the Chief Engineer's No.5245 dated the 7th Idem re demolition of the South gate of the Bangalore Fort, and enquiring whether the sanction of the Dewan was obtained to the demolition in question and if not requesting that the same be obtained early for the information of the Chief Engineer.

(2) Memo No.2889 dated the 7th January 1901 from the Plague Commissioner transferring for favor of the early orders of Government the Superintending Engineer's docket to his address No.38/17 dated the 4th Idem on the same subject.

OFFICE OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSION Fr. No 7497 FEB 7 1901 MYSORE

Order thereon No. 9854/2760 Dated Bangalore, 6th February 1901.

In now conveying formal sanction to the demolition already effected of the South gate of the Fort mentioned above, Government observe that the Plague Commissioner should have obtained the requisite sanction before asking the D.P.W. to carry out the work.

3382 8.2.01 Put-up ref & submit to PC for approval 4/2/01

For Secretary.

Compd.

To

The Plague Commissioner in Mysore.

C.N. 4393/1648 dt 15/18-10-99. Letter to PC for info 7/2/1901

SECTION

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore;

FILE No.

----------0000000----------

SERIAL No.

Read:-

(1).Letter No.4393/1648 dated the 15/18th October 1899 from the Superintemding Engineer, Sanitary Works, to the Plague Commissioner in Mysore, annexing an extract from the Chief Engineer's No.5245 dated the 7th idem re demolition of the South Gate of the Bangalore Fort, and enquring whether the sanction of the Dewan was obtained to the demolition in question and if not requesting that the same be obtained early for the information of the Chief Engineer.

(2).Memo No.2889 dated the 7th January 1901, from the Plague Commissioner, transferring for favor of the early orders of Government the Superintending Engineer's docket to his address No.38/7 dated the 4th idem on the same subject.

No. 485 Dated Bangalore 6 14 February 1901.
Mis 2760 4/2

ORDER.- The Plague Commissioner, it is presumed, obtained the verbal permission of Sir Sheshadri Iyer, K.C.S.I., before ~~him~~ instructing the D.P.W. to demolish the South Gate of the ~~Fort~~ Fort mentioned above. Formal sanction is now accorded.

755/

Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To .

The Plague Commissioner in Mysore.

The Chief Engineer in Mysore.

Put up the
Mar. Dole
Sub dwg
& then the
Mar Dole
Returned Dwg 9/2/01
by

C.G.

Put up
9.2.01